

A	<p>DC/22/3182/ARM Approval of Reserved Matters of DC/15/1128/OUT - Application for Outline Planning Permission for up to 560 dwellings, including a Local Community Centre, a 60 Bedroom extra Care Home and 50 assisted Assisted Living Units, 2 small Business Units and open space provision with associated Infrastructure – Land North Of Candlet Road, Phase 2</p>
<p>Committee recommended REFUSAL of this application.</p> <p>We recognise several positive aspects of this application, notably the interesting and varied designs and the increase to six different character areas. We welcome the 33% provision of affordable homes via different tenures and distribution throughout the site. We also welcome the provision of several bungalows.</p> <p>However, we have a number of concerns:</p> <p>There is no detail in the application documents as to the compliance with building regulations M4(2), M4(1) and M4(3) in terms of disability access requirements.</p> <p>We note that a number of roads have no footpaths – requiring pedestrians to share a road with vehicles, with consequent safety concerns.</p> <p>Whilst we accept that renewable energy provisions, such as PV panels and ground source heat pumps, are not a planning requirement, we regret that the developer has not sought to make provision of these within the application.</p> <p>We are concerned that the buffer between the development and the Grove woodland is particularly narrow in the central section where housing directly abuts the woodland – which we estimate to be only around 5m.</p> <p>Concerning the provision of POS, whilst we accept that this meets the requirements of the outline consent, we note that the total given includes such things as the perimeter around the drainage basins. We are also concerned that POS provision is not evenly distributed throughout the site and that the site overall only contains one equipped Play area.</p> <p>Most importantly we feel it is unacceptable that there is still not an approved surface drainage strategy for this site, as highlighted by the LLFA submission. Our concerns are well-founded given a variety of known flooding issues on, or adjacent to, different parts of this site.</p>	

B	DC/22/2930/FUL Erection of new Office Accommodation Building (for a temporary period of two years) Land Off Walton Avenue
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	

C	DC/22/2379/FUL Proposed installation of Photo Volatic Arrays on the existing roof Felixstowe Mega Distribution Centre Land At Clickett Hill Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL and strongly welcomed this application.	

D	DC/22/2963/ADN Non Illuminated Advertisement - Totem pole sign 5.300m high approx. Beach Village Sea Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	

E	DC/22/3266/VOC Variation of Condition No. 3 of DC/22/1996/FUL - Replacement beach side kiosk adjacent to promenade - Operational hours Kiosk Site Near Bent Hill The Promenade Undercliff Road West
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	

At this point, 10.45am, Cllr S Wiles left the meeting.

F	DC/22/3001/FUL Internal changes to form 2 dwellings 9 Manor Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL, having considered the proximity of public transport.	

G	DC/22/2962/FUL Rear first floor extension. Alteration to consented position of two windows to side elevations 41 Westmorland Road
<p>Committee recommended REFUSAL on following grounds:</p> <p>The proposal appears to be contrary to SCLP11.1 para. (b) as it does not demonstrate a clear understanding of, or complement, the local character and distinctiveness of this estate. Furthermore, with reference to SCLP11.1 para. (c) iiv, the proposal does not relate well to the scale and strong character of its immediate surroundings; will impact the existing neighbourhood layout; and, due to its massing and design, does not relate well to the street scene at Westmorland Road and Wrens Park. We are also concerned about the impact on residential amenity, with reference to SCLP11.2 para. (e) and its physical relationship with other properties.</p>	

H	DC/22/3028/FUL Demolition of utility and walls to kitchen and dining area and extend to form kitchen/family room with utility room and toilet 67 Gainsborough Road
<p>Committee recommended REFUSAL. We believe that the extent of this proposed extension is unacceptable in terms of its mass and the consequent impact on the neighbour at no. 65, due to its overbearing nature and the loss of daylight and sunlight to the rear window of no. 65. We therefore feel that this application is contrary to SCLP 11.1(c)3, 11.2(c) and 11.2(e).</p> <p>We note with concern that there is no reference by the applicant to the fact that the property is situated within the Felixstowe Conservation Area.</p>	
I	DC/22/3133/FUL Re-submission to create 30m2 office extension and conversion of one large flat to two smaller flats (scheme previously approved 2018 - ref: DC/18/1446/FUL 156 - 158 Hamilton Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	
J	DC/22/2952/FUL A joint application between 175 & 177 to erect an adjoined front porch. 177 Maidstone Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	
K	DC/22/3151/FUL Retrospective Application - Retention of cladding 19 Kemsley Road
Committee recommended APPROVAL.	
L	DC/22/3022/FUL To change windows and door to front of property to upvc. styles like for like. 14 Red Hall Court
<p>Committee recommended APPROVAL.</p> <p>We note with concern that there is no reference by the applicant to the fact that the property is situated within the Felixstowe Conservation Area.</p>	

M	DC/22/3024/FUL To Replace Existing Wooded Front Door With UPVC 12 Red Hall Court
<p>Committee recommended APPROVAL.</p> <p>We note with concern that there is no reference by the applicant to the fact that the property is situated within the Felixstowe Conservation Area.</p>	

At this point, 11.20am, Cllr A Smith left the meeting.

N	DC/22/2606/TPO 1 no. Copper beech (T1 on plan) Lateral reduction to create a clearance of 3 metres from the dwelling of no.19 Picketts Road The Picketts 15 Picketts Road
<p>Committee had NO OBJECTION to the work proposed subject to the guidance of the East Suffolk Council's Arboricultural Officer.</p>	

At this point, 11.23am, Cllr A Smith returned.

156. PLANNING DECISIONS

RESOLVED that the decisions on planning applications by East Suffolk Council notified to the Town Council since the previous meeting be noted.

157. CORRESPONDENCE

Committee NOTED the following correspondence:

- i. Suffolk County Council was developing a series of transport Town Plans to identify the local transport priorities and interventions in 10 strategic towns across Suffolk. The plans were to feed into the Local Transport Plan (LTP), which was being revised, in line with the strategic priorities of the LTP (Decarb; Levelling Up the Economy; Health, Care & Social Inclusion, and; Creating Better Places). The plans would consist of a package of interventions to be delivered over a five-year period and follow the Department for Transport's Hierarchy of Road Users, placing sustainable travel at the forefront. The Town Plans were to be considered as live documents and reviewed annually. The LTP would be issued for public consultation in early 2023 and SCC was seek to meet up with a couple of representatives from the Town Council in September to walk around Felixstowe and help inform the project.
Members agreed that the Town Clerk plus Cllrs S Bennett and A Smith should attend the meeting on behalf of the Committee.

158. CLOSURE

The meeting was closed at 11.28am. It was noted that the next meeting was scheduled to take place on Wednesday 21 September 2022 at 9.15am.

Date: _____

Chairman: _____