

Contents

TRO'S..... 3

 080316/12 Penfold Road – Waiting Restrictions 3

 080316/13 St George’s Road and Academy entrance – Junction Protection..... 3

 200916/10 New car park on Orford Road 4

 190917/17 Maybush Lane 5

 200916/14 HGV Movements on Langer Road 6

 060318/04 8

 080915/22..... 9

 080915/23..... 10

 190917/18..... 21

 Traffic signals at Hamilton Rd/Cobbold Rd 21

 Bus Stops..... 22

 Bus Stop clearway on Leopold Road..... 22

 190917/05..... 26

 Route of the 77 bus / Convalescent Hill bus stop..... 26

 190917/06..... 26

 Footpath 8 (Elmcroft Lane to Westmorland Rd) and Footpath 32 (Rendlesham Rd <>PofF Road/A14 overbridge<>Clickett Hill)..... 26

 190917/14..... 26

 Weeds on Roads, kerbsides and pavements 26

 190917/08..... 27

 Bent Hill..... 27

 190917/09..... 28

 Pier – Deliveries on the Prom 28

 060318/02..... 28

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Re-routed lorries due to A14 works..... 28
060318/03..... 28
Traffic Lights at Garrison Lane 28
060318/07..... 29
Streetlights in Hamilton Road 29
060318/10..... 29
Footway at 48 Beach Station Road 29

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Reference	Location	Description / Comment	Actions
TRO'S			
080316/12	Penfold Road – Waiting Restrictions	The traffic order and actual yellow lines on the ground do not appear to agree.	To be investigated.
Update 20 Sept 2016		It has been confirmed that there are problems with the traffic order at this location, a detailed investigation is to be carried out with a view to submitting proposals to the next meeting in March 2017.	DC to report to next meeting.
Update 7 March 2017		We have been unable to complete this investigation, therefore carry this item forward to next meeting.	DC to report to next meeting.
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: With the upcoming implementation of Civil Parking Enforcement (CPE) all restrictions in Suffolk will need to be checked and amended. Once markings and Traffic Orders are confirmed to match this will need to be recorded electronically for traffic wardens. CPE implementation due to be completed by April 2019, suggestion that no additional parking restriction reviews will take place until after this.	Concern was raised regarding not doing any additional parking restrictions until after the CPE, especially if there is a delay in the implementation of CPE. PG will contact David Stiff to get an update to the situation. GN, SB & SW to take up with SCC regarding the possibility of doing a group of TROs and signs if needed before the implementation of CPE.
Update 6 March 2018		PG has spoken with David Stiff, Civil Parking Enforcement Implementation Manager regarding TROs and CPE, and he felt that there was no reason why a TRO could not be progressed in the meantime. Any TRO applications referred could be investigated and if applicable a consultation process progressed. The new order will pick up all existing TROs so any new ones will have to go through the process for new TROs.	PG will double check the situation regarding TROs in the interim period. PG will also check whether they are walking along all routes to check lines against TROs. PG progress TRO investigation at this location.
Update Sept 2018		PG will see David Stiff and give an update for the meeting on CPE. It would be more efficient to put several TRO requests through together. The date for CPE implementation is likely to be pushed back and the original completion date of April 2019 may not be met.	
080316/13	St George's Road and Academy entrance – Junction Protection	Complaints have been received of parking and visibility problems at the junction of St Georges Road and Ferry Road and also the new Academy entrance at High Street. These have yet to be investigated but junction protection markings are possible solutions. Any TRO's could be included in the York Road and Penfold Road items above.	GN to provide further evidence of the problem when it occurs so it can be assessed more accurately.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Update 20 Sept 2016		OFCA put out cones when events are on which seem quite effective, but there is still a regular issue with cars parking on the junction of St George's Road and Ferry Road. The problem at the Academy entrance is limited to the dropping off/picking up school times. TROs are expensive. It may be worthwhile keeping a separate list of TRO requests and keep adding to it and when HAC feel there is a certain number submit them together.	All to watch the situation and brief at next meeting. DF to compile a list of requests which require TRO.
Update 7 March 2017		<p>SCC have received no further complaints about either junction since the last meeting. When the roundabout goes in at the Academy this will help the situation.</p> <p>Further sites where complaints have been received about the waiting restrictions are located in Maybush Lane, Langer Road, Garfield Road, Barton Road and Manor Terrace.</p> <p>DC has money to progress the extended waiting restrictions on Langer Road near the Orford Road Car Park.</p>	DC to ascertain whether there is a budget for a TRO, and if there is to request a TRO to cover Langer Road (nr Orford Road Car Park), St Georges Road and by the Academy entrance.
Update 19 Sept 2017		<p>Update from transport strategy team:</p> <p>Aug 2017 DC confirms there is up to £5k for parking restrictions on Langer Road near Orford Road car park. Parking reviews may be on hold due to CPE (see above) however £5k likely insufficient to cover cost of a traffic order, design fees are typically £6.5k, this does not include construction costs.</p> <p>Update at meeting</p> <p>It has been noticed that a lot of residents are now putting out cones.</p> <p>There have also been complaints about Campervans being parked at various places in Felixstowe. This is not unlawful as long as they are taxed and insured. A parking restriction say between 1am-2am could change this but difficult to enforce.</p>	<p>Add to TRO list. The cones at St George's Road that OFCA put out seems to solve problem but they have no legal right to put out cones.</p> <p>PG will write a letter to FTC, FTC to publicise cones as a local issue in social media/ newsletter. Once this is done, FTC can feed PG with addresses to send letters to stating that it is not legal to put out cones and they will be removed.</p> <p>The Campervan issue is noted and will be kept under review.</p>
Update 6 March 2018		There are no yellow lines at the moment and they are required at these locations. The Academy lines are not sufficient and there is a problem up to 377 High Road.	PG - TRO to be pursued.
Update Sept 2018		See update above	
200916/10	New car park on Orford Road	Councillor Gallant reported that the entrance to the new car park is on Orford Road and the exit is on Langer Road, and enquired	DC to look into the signs and planning conditions with regards to the exits.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		whether it would be better positioned if you came in from the main road and out of the car park on Orford Road. People can park right up to the exit on Langer Road which can block the view when exiting the car park. This could be part of the planning conditions. There is also an issue with signs, as there does not appear to be any existence of the car park in the town on signs.	
Update 7 March 2017		<p>The car park is maintained by SCDC and the layout is for them to decide. The current layout entrance is nearer the sea front presumably to serve this demand first.</p> <p>We are happy to consider additional signing to the car park for which we can provide estimates but there are no signs to the other car parks in Sea road so this may have to be looked at all together.</p> <p>£5000 has been provided by Dev Control towards a TRO to extend the double yellow lines at the car parks exit to improve visibility this could be combined with the possible TROs noted above.</p>	DC to progress a TRO
Update 19 Sept 2017		See 080316/13	To be added to TRO List
Update 6 March 2018		Members agreed that the 'In' entrance should be off the busy road and the 'Out' exit should be in one of the side roads, this may solve the issue so as not to require TRO and lines, and Members felt that the £5000 could be better spent elsewhere. There is not much signage directing to this car park, and this could be addressed at the same time.	DF & GN to write a letter and pass by AS to Carolyn Barnes, SCDC and David Stiff, SCC regarding switching the signs in the car park.
Update Sept 2018		PG will provide an update for the meeting	
190917/17	Maybush Lane	Support for additional road markings around the driveway of Cranmer House in Maybush Lane, Felixstowe has been requested. On busy days the exit view is completely blocked by parked cars. On one side there are single yellow lines which are "active" 8am - 6pm every day. However these are never enforced and routinely ignored. The other side of the drive has no lines. Near misses are frequent as residents exit the property. Therefore double yellow lines or "keep clear" signs seem to be necessary for about 10 metres both sides before a serious accident occurs.	PG has visited today the area and will investigate the possibility of yellow lines.
Update 6		It could be that the TRO is in place and the yellow lines have been tarmacked over.	PG to check the details of the existing TRO.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

March 2018		Members discussed the criteria for putting in H Markings.	DF to circulate H Marking criteria.
Update Sept 2018		PG will provide an update for the meeting	
HGVs			
200916/14	HGV Movements on Langer Road	<p>Members considered issues around HGV movements along Langer Road and surrounding streets which were not intended for such traffic. DC advised that two signs advising of the restrictions would be installed opposite the junction at Beach Station Road in the late autumn/early winter. It was accepted that a more detailed study would be required – probably involving Highways England as well as SCC – to better signpost the various destinations for lorries, to raise the profile of the 7.5 ton limit along Langer Road, and to engage other agencies (e.g. Police and Trading Standards) to apply a little more discipline to what is currently happening.</p> <p>It was suggested that a yard off Walton Ave, just before the crossing may be adding to the problem. It was believed that the yard had planning permission conditions requiring the operators to man the gate to wave lorries in from Walton Ave. It appears that this is taking place, but, when the yard is getting full they may be instructing HGV drivers to do a loop via Lidl's until space becomes available. If this was part of the planning requirements, and were it to remain a major issue then enforcement should be considered.</p> <p>There is nothing on the signage coming down the A14 trunk road on the approach to Dock Gate 1, but this road comes under Highways England. It may be possible to have stronger weight limit signs. It was also suggested that a sign be placed in the layby on the Dock Spur for drivers to pull in, which could list all the companies on the Industrial sites.</p>	<p>AT to check planning requirements for the lorry yard in Walton Avenue.</p> <p>DC to contact Highways England, regarding improved signage on the trunk road.</p>
Update 7 March 2017		<p>A meeting with the police, MK & GN has been arranged on 8th March to discuss the lorry movements and the options for enforcement.</p> <p>The additional 7.5t lorry restriction sign has now been placed in Beach Station Road at the junction with Langer Road.</p>	<p>A request for lorry drivers to ring ahead and hold back would solve the queueing and turning at the Lidl roundabout, driving along Langer Road could be an option.</p> <p>AT – to bring the issue up at the next Port LALC meeting.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Update 19 Sept 2017		Update GN 11/9: Recurring problem of lorries using this route to turn/burn time, seems to be building again at the moment. Need to alert the new team to possible solutions/mitigations. Update from transport strategy team: DC has contacted Highways England end of August, a response is awaited.	The signage by Beach Station Road is too late as HGVs have already passed over the roundabout. Work with the Companies so that if the SATNAV sends them to the wrong location notes can be circulated with exact directions to the premises. There is a real problem with signs being obscured by vegetation. GN & AS can show PG the area to investigate solutions. PG will speak with Peter Grimm, Highways and see what can be done to help situation.
Update 6 March 2018		There have been no further complaints. Highways did cut back the vegetation, so the signs can be better seen. The existing signage for pre the Dock Spur Roundabout is not very clear.	PG will email Highways England and arrange to meet regarding the signage.
Update Sept 2018		PG did email and will chase Highways England.	
Surface Dressing			
080915/21 Update 7 March 2017		The surface dressing sites currently on this year's programme are as follows: Westmorland Road, Stour Avenue, Rosemary Avenue, Lynwood Avenue, Walton Avenue, Lansdowne Road, Tomline Road, Keswick Close, and Garrison Lane.	DC to monitor
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: Will continue to monitor with aspiration that surface dressing programme will be made available on the SCC website. No changes so far.	PG to monitor. There is a forward programme on the website but no dates as yet. DF to circulate link to website as soon as received from PG
Update 6 March 2018		The programme on the website is not up to date. Locations which are potential surface dressing and surfacing sites for 2018/19 are: Cordys Lane, Trimley St Mary; Wadgate Road; Kirton Road, Trimley St Martin; Hollybush Drive; Micklegate Road; Haven Close; Quilter Road; Cowley Road; Croutel Road; Grimston Lane, Trimley St Martin	
Update Sept 2018		The above programme should have been done. PG will check and report back to the meeting	

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		See latest 'Forward Works Programme' on SCC website updated 12 April 2018 https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/roadworks/roadworks-in-suffolk/ presented at Appendix B for Felixstowe and Trimley	
060318/04	Mill Lane Railway Bridge	<p>Prior to the Sept 2017 Highways reorganisation, the former Saxmundham office intimated that the short stretch of road surface over this railway bridge was on a programme to be completely resurfaced, subject to notification of, and agreement with, Network Rail. Since that time, the crazed surface over the bridge has gradually deteriorated, and during the recent period of cold weather a new pothole has opened up which is probably sufficiently large to warrant repair. There are other depressions in the surface elsewhere, including that where a pothole was repaired with a patch last winter. The single track road over the bridge carries a significant amount of traffic, including a minimum of four scheduled double-decker buses each hour, and HGVs supplying neighbourhood shops in Wadgate Road and Grange Farm Avenue, which can barely avoid the hole – so they are simply exacerbating it. Needless to say, the current state of the surface is attracting a lot of adverse comment from residents in the area. Please could you advise what the progress is to resurface this short stretch of road, rather than just patch up the pothole?</p> <p>Update 14/2/18: An inspection has been carried out and a repair has been ordered ref 160587, to be completed in approx. 10 days.</p>	PG has taken photos and measured up. The pot hole should have been repaired last week but was delayed because of the snow, however a temporary repair was done last Friday. It is high priority to re-do this area from the give way sign to the hump outside the British Legion.
Update Sept 2018		<p>60m of road resurfacing needed over the rail bridge.</p> <p>Response to GN: There are localised sections of recurrent failures which would suggest an underlying problem. Having checked with Suffolk Highways' Asset Management (Carriageways), this location is on the radar and identified using the condition/customer reports/defects data. Further investigations need to be completed prior to resurfacing which is likely to be completed this financial year. In the meantime, Suffolk Highways will continue to monitor its condition through safety inspections.</p> <p>As well as resurfacing over the bridge, a considerable amount of</p>	

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>detritus from previous potholes and their patching needs to be removed from the kerb sides and the approaches to the bridge.</p> <p>PG will provide update for meeting</p>	
Carriageway Resurfacing			
<p>080915/22</p> <p>Update 7 March 2017</p>		<p>Crescent Road is on the programme to be resurfaced this year.</p> <p>The condition of High Road East /Cliff Road was discussed. One option would be to hatch out the sides, or make a cycle lane</p>	<p>DC to monitor</p> <p>DC to investigate a cycle lane along High Road East/Cliff Road</p>
<p>Update 19 Sept 2017</p>		<p>Update from transport strategy team:</p> <p>Will continue to monitor with aspiration that carriageway surfacing programme will be made available on the SCC website. No changes so far.</p>	<p>High Road East/Cliff Road to be put on the list for future consideration. It is after the shops near Church Road which is the problem.</p> <p>There are 2 really deep undulating issues on Leopold Rd between Orwell Rd and Wolsey Gardens. This is a recurring problem.</p> <p>PG to monitor. DF to circulate link to website as soon as received from PG</p>
<p>Update 6 March 2018</p>		<p>Link was circulated by DF but now out of date.</p> <p>Potential surfacing sites for 2018/19 are as follows: High Road West, Walton Avenue, Crescent Road.</p>	<p>PG to send up to date programme of work to DF to circulate</p>
<p>Update Sept 2018</p>		<p>PG will check if the programme of work has been updated since the March meeting and will provide an update for this meeting.</p>	
<p>060318/09</p>	<p>Severe puddling on Walton Avenue</p>	<p>Photos to be circulated of severe puddling on Walton Avenue. Puddling at both sites have been reported to Suffolk Highways following correspondence with another resident. The drain across the Kingdom Hall driveway is blocked with fragments of what was the drain cover, suggesting most of the water from the Hall's car park is coming straight down their drive and accumulating on the footway at the bottom. The levels must be historically incorrect (perhaps following resurfacing of the highway) for the water not to be flowing into the kerbside drain.</p> <p>The situation with Lidl is slightly different in that that driveway has</p>	<p>Walton Avenue is on the surfacing list for a potential site next year and so will be looked at then.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		only just been constructed, and the drainage from it should have been checked for compliance with the building regulations and planning permission conditions.	
Update Sept 2018		Update given to GN <i>Kerb and surface levels need to be adjusted to assist surface water drainage and are expected to cost around £6k. It is anticipated that these works will be completed in this financial year and are to be funded from the capital footway patching budget.</i>	
Pavements – Footway resurfacing			
080915/23 Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: York Road, Colneis Road, and the Promenade (options still being investigated) are on the 17/18 programme list. There are some issues to be resolved with regard to The Promenade, which are understood to be due to the exposed location washing under and lifting the surface. There is a limited window for the works over the winter whilst the beach huts are not in place. A request has been put to the Felixstowe Community Warden to assess the condition of the footway near the Fairfield School. Ideally these issues should be reported via our online reporting tool, https://highwaysreporting.suffolk.gov.uk/	PG will speak to Felixstowe Community Warden to confirm location of problem outside Fairfield School. There is also an issue on Station Road on the left hand side near Goshawk Terrace. A repair is required since builders left the site.
Update 6 March 2018		The worst part of the Prom is from Cobbolds Point to Bath Road.	PG to look at the above sites and report back.
Update Sept 2018		Update PG: The prom is inspected monthly, there are not any urgent defects but it is on the list to be done – when will be determined by funding. The online reporting up until now has used a triage system where the urgent ones are looked at first, this is changing to include all reported defects so the Community Warden can look at and then prioritise all reported issues. PG will check the condition of the footway near Fairfield School.	
080316/07	Taunton Road Footpath	A member of the public reported last year to Councillor Mike Deacon that the footpath in Taunton Road from no. 30 back towards the Exeter Road junction was in a state. This was reported to SCC in the normal way and was told that the footpath was not bad enough to repair. Last Summer an elderly resident tripped, fell, broke her wrist	DC to ask Malcolm King to resend the email to GN.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		and badly lacerated her face. Mike was also approached by another resident who had asked the team resurfacing the footway on the other side if they were also to repair the pathway in question and was told “No” and was surprised that the team who were already on site could not have filled the offending defects on the opposite side. It is requested that Highways support the repair of this footpath.	
Update 20 Sept 2016		This location has been added to the list of possible sites for repair for the next financial year 2017/18	DC to monitor.
Update 7 March 2017		The location will be checked as part of the regular safety inspections. If it condition gets worse reactive repairs will be carried out.	DC to monitor
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: To be monitored during routine inspections.	PG will check it is on forward plan.
Update 6 March 2018		This footpath has been checked on regular inspections	PG will look at the condition and if ok, will then be archived.
Update Sept 2018		Update 13/9: PG will visit Taunton Rd footpath and provide an update for the meeting	
200916/11	Goyfield Avenue	Complaints have been received from mobility scooters trying to use the footpath from Goyfield Avenue, and not being passible because of overgrowth, works ref 0014770. This was looked at and some of it seen to, but is still an issue.	DC to investigate.
Update 7 March 2017		Further vegetation clearance has been carried out at trees in Goyfield avenue to clear the pavements. Some of the pavements are uneven because of tree roots and this is to be added to future works programmes.	Ongoing
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: Pavement reconstruction has been recorded on our asset management software for future works programme. Unfortunately no indication of time scales can be given.	The issue is on the railway side. To be added onto a future works programme.
Update 6 March 2018		PG will investigate and update.	PG will investigate and update.
Update Sept 2018		It is worst on the odd numbered side. PG will check where this is on the programme of works and report back.	

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

CYCLE PATHS / CYCLING ON THE PROM			
120911/01	Footpath 34 Cycle Track	Following the restructure at SCC, the new Scheme Delivery Team needs to identify a way forward. At present, Morrisons will allow the County Council to build a new cycle facility on their land under certain conditions. Possible ways forward could involve discussions with Grange Primary School or a Public Right Of Way.	It was agreed that a meeting with Grange School representatives would be beneficial, as would a further discussion with Morrisons.
Update 21 March 2012		Discussions with SCC Rights of Way had identified a preferred route forward – by diversion of FP34 by agreement with Morrisons along the desired line and upgraded to cycle track standard. It was hoped that this could be achieved by securing the support of the planning departments at both SCC and SCDC.	A meeting would be scheduled soon between officers in Scheme Delivery, Rights of Way and Planning to confirm support for this approach.
Update 6 Sept 2012		Morrisons have been approached again, but a response was still awaited. Councillor Newman will email Councillor Goodwin details so he can investigate further.	David Chenery to check with Susan Broom as to whether the 20 year has been explored.
Update 6 March 2013		No progress has been made due to land issues with Morrisons. The missing link is part of local cycle route 5 and national cycle route 51. It takes you east of Morrisons onto Grange Farm Avenue. Morrisons have not been able to give more than a temporary offer to us for 12-18 months as they may be developing the land in the future. It would not be viable to make the track for such a short space of time. Grange School has also been pursued but they are short of playing field space so were not keen. One option could be to make a compulsory purchase of the land. This would involve costly legal work and a hearing which could cost in the region of £8,000 - £9,000. A CPO could be made for either Morrisons or Grange School.	A letter will be sent to Morrisons from the Chairman, David Chenery is happy to help with the wording to put on some pressure.
Update 23 Sept 2013		This is still to be progressed.	DC to check to see if it is possible to claim 'established route' but thinks that this has been investigated before. Morrisons will be written a letter to say if this is not allowed then we will have to go the Compulsory Purchase Order route.
Update 10 March 2014		It is believed that Councillor Sharman has been dealing with this. A compulsory Purchase Order may need to be considered.	DC will obtain an update and email members.
Update 16 Sept 2014		An email has been received from Morrisons from a new contact which explained that the delay was due to staff changes. The email requested plans and suggested a 'lift and shift' option, which would basically mean that if requested, then we would have to remove the cycle track. Members felt that as the track is at the perimeter, it was	DC will contact and try and speed things along with a timescale, he will also investigate costs of materials which would be most suitable.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		probably unlikely that it would be required to be moved. Funding would come from LTP.	
Update 10 March 2015		Members noted that Morrisons had agreed to accept the scheme which will take the path to the back of the surgery and along by the skate park. The surface requirement would be SCC's decision and was likely to be asphalt as it provided a good quality surface. SCC would divest liability for upkeep.	DC to monitor progress of works.
Update 8 Sept 2015		The scheme which will take the path to the back of the surgery and along by the skate park was now live with the Morrison's legal team. Members requested an update at the next meeting.	DC to monitor and update at next meeting.
Update 8 March 2016		DC reported that this matter was still with SCC legal team to prepare a dedication of land agreement with Morrisons. Rights of Way had given approval and it was confirmed that funding for the scheme was still available.	DC to contact SCC legal team and request that this be progressed.
Update 20 Sept 2016		DC has spoken with Suzanne Buck. It is strategic, rather than drawing on LCP Fund. The plan is to go in a straight line and not the back of it as initially planned. There are still a couple of outstanding queries, the brief is to completely look at ecology, land transfer and Rights of Way. Commission is imminent. There is an issue regarding lighting the cycle path on the corner near 3 properties. It was discussed whether a consultation was required, but thought using a black out panel would block out the light for these properties who already have lights from the Doctor's Surgery.	DC to update as soon as we have further information.
Update 7 March 2017		The land ownership drawings are now with legal and Public rights of way sections of SCC to start the legal and planning process. A brief for the detailed design will be issued when the timing of the legal process is known. Draft plans will be presented at the meeting. A enquiry from a member of the public regarding the starlings being removed from the bushes, this is an environmental health problem so either SCDC or Morrisons would be responsible.	A draft plan showed the route linking the rest of the cycle track. Funding is assured and committee would like to progress as soon as possible.
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: Rights of Way are progressing the footpath realignment and cycle track conversion for the new route around Morrisons (Grange Farm Ave etc). This has been with RoW since March and been chased regularly, although progress has been slow. The RoW legal process	Ongoing – Paul Gant to chase and monitor.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>is expected to take 9 months. ROW have been asked for an expected completion date as it is hoped to avoid the legal process and mobilisation having to run sequentially.</p> <p>From dealings with the landowners, written agreement for the cycle track has been obtained from Morrisons and Haven Health. The Suffolk Coastal piece of land is more problematic as Highways have been informed that full planning permission is required.</p> <p>Cllr Newman is being kept informed of the “progress”, however, RoW are currently in the process of moving office.</p>	
<p>Update 6 March 2018</p>		<p>Update 13/2/18 from Paul Horne, SCC Infrastructure team: There is already a request in for an ecological survey and the preliminary design has been completed. The legal process still needs to be completed as an objection at this point could jeopardise the plans.</p> <p>The following is a full explanation of the rights of way process and the steps required for the Footpath diversion and subsequent Cycle Track Conversion Order. There are a number of mandatory consultation phases each requiring a minimum 4 week period. Any objections received during this period will of course delay or even halt the process. The summary of the rights of way process is as follows (in blue), it is time consuming and resource hungry and there are a number of stages which must be undertaken sequentially. Suffolk Highways will not be able to be formally instructed to schedule the construction activity until the CTCO is complete as it is important to avoid prejudicing the legal process. Suffolk Highways will be advised of the situation as the end of the legal process approaches, they will then have their timeframe to mobilise and schedule their resources once the work is confirmed. From the information provided, the scheme will not be constructed until the Autumn at the earliest, assuming everything runs smoothly and Suffolk Highways can allocate resource in advance of a confirmed start date.</p> <p>SCC hope to be able to consult with the landowners during March on their preferred layout and subject to wider statutory consultation with no objections make a diversion order for the footpath diversion during May.</p> <p>Provided no objections to the order are received, the footpath diversion could be confirmed during June/July.</p> <p>Detailed plans and specifications necessary for submission of a planning application for the construction works could then be prepared with detailed costings.</p>	<p>Members received the update, and look forward to progress on this one.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>Assuming planning consent can be obtained during the summer SCC could then make the order to convert the routes status to dual cycle track with pedestrian use.</p> <p>Construction works would only take place once the CTCO had been confirmed.</p> <p>Provided no objections are received at any of the above stages construction could hopefully take place during the Autumn.</p>	
Update Sept 2018		PG will obtain an update from Paul Horne and provide an update for the meeting	
160914/10	Blofield Track	On the Blofield Track over the Dockspur link some of the track is surfaced and then it is unsurfaced on the gradual incline, and it is not possible to cycle on the gravel. People use this track to get to the Port to work.	DC will look at the stretch, which is marked cycle but as far as Suffolk County is concerned it is a footpath.
Update 10 March 2015		It was noted that the Blofield Track, situated over the Dockspur link footbridge towards Cordy's Lane, was partly surfaced and incomplete. A surface was required that was suitable for both bikes and horse riders and it was suggested that Sustran be contacted for advice on this. It was also felt that Trimley St Mary Parish Council should be involved.	DC agreed to email Sustran and arrange a meeting with the Rights of Way Team and investigate further with Cllr J Goodwin.
Update 8 Sept 2015		Mr D Chenery advised that this matter was still ongoing as appropriate surfacing materials were being considered.	DC to progress and report at next meeting.
Update 8 March 2016		A site meeting is to be arranged with the various parties that have an interest in the track, where the track will be walked from end to end to assess the condition.	AT to keep Town Councillors Barham, Green and Bird up to date with progress. DC to arrange a meeting with JGo, GN, Trimley St Mary Parish Council and a user of the track.
Update 20 Sept 2016		<p>DC met with SCC ROW staff on site and investigated the status of the footway with Highway records section. The section from Nicholas Road to Cordys Lane is of variable width and the length is such that the cost is likely to be prohibitive. Extensive discussions would be required with all of the parties involved to agree a scheme particularly for equestrian traffic.</p> <p>The section between Nicholas Road and Clicket Hill Road is recorded as a cycle track already and therefore no traffic order would be required to surface this, the existing width is sufficient for a shared</p>	AT to contact Tim from Bidwells regarding the plans for access to the Rifle club and how this may affect the track.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>use route. The cost is estimated as £35,000 for this part of the track up to the Industrial Estate (not to Trimley).</p> <p>Inquiries are to be made to see if funding is available from strategic funding sources. Part of the track is in Felixstowe and part in Trimley St Mary. DC also has spoken with Sustrans regarding suitable surface for Equestrians and Cyclists. Members discussed the re-location of the Rifle club, and how the infrastructure would affect the track.</p>	
Update 7 March 2017		<p>AT: Bidwells have confirmed that the Rifle Club proposals envisage using the current track without any to upgrade / alterations other than to achieve access into the Nicholas Road turning circle. Comment was that the track appears to be functional for general purpose use. Bidwells don't yet have a timetable for implementation.</p>	DC to arrange design and obtain costings and look for funding.
Update 19 Sept 2017		<p>DC raised request with the SCC Transport Strategy team to consider including this in the strategic cycle network but as of 7 Sept no funding has been found. It has been recorded for potential future strategic improvement.</p> <p>As strategic funding is not currently available then we would be reliant on local highways budget, district councillor budget or town council precept. It is likely the cost of the work would be prohibitively expensive.</p> <p>ROW team have raised concern that the track is used by horse riders so any surface change would need to be suitable, likely a dual surface (half bound, half unbound material).</p>	<p>The Felixstowe Town end near Rendlesham Rd is severely overgrown (1 person width) making footpath impassable. PG to make note of that and arrange action.</p> <p>The Blofield Track is still under investigation by ROW group.</p>
Update 6 March 2018		A meeting on site would be useful	GN to arrange a meeting with SB and PG to look at this area and others.
Update Sept 2018		On site meeting still to be arranged	GN to contact PG to arrange a date
HAMILTON ROAD/SHARED SPACE SCHEME			
030907/03	'A' Boards in Hamilton Road	Derek Oldham reported that he had received a request from SCDC to look at 'A' boards in Felixstowe and asked whether the Committee believed that the County Council should take action against these in Felixstowe. Members discussed A boards. It was explained that the	It was agreed that this should be discussed at the next meeting of the Town Centre Management Group and in the meantime if there were any particular problems of obstruction these should be

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		Town Centre Management Group had an understanding that they were an aid to trade. Boards needed to be looked at on a case by case basis in relation to their position and whether the footway was wide enough.	passed to Derek Oldham.
Update 10 March 2014		<p>Many 'A' Boards are placed on the Highway to advertise businesses. The policy County Council have adopted is to allow one such board per business. This is provided it is located directly outside the property, is taken in at night and does not obstruct the Highway unreasonably. A summary of SCC's guidance note was circulated to members alongside photographs to illustrate the problems. Problems have been experienced in the area of the Orwell Road junction with a large number of boards being placed out by businesses in Orwell Road. There are also problems with goods being placed on the Highway in Hamilton Road. These would normally be dealt with by writing to the offender or a personal visit to the premises. SCC's approach has been to place stickers on the boards if they are considered unreasonable, asking for their removal. This has provoked some vigorous objections. The proposal is to continue this approach but write to all businesses in Hamilton Road and Orwell Road to remind them of the approach and to say that boards will be removed if a nuisance is caused by boards that do not comply with the above. Members were asked for their comments on this approach. It was believed that when the shared space scheme was created, promises were made to the Blind Society that the area would be uncluttered. It is understood that Suffolk County Disability have also raised this issue of A boards and goods on Hamilton Road causing obstructions. It was felt that a set of rules that applies to Felixstowe was required. It was also suggested that a meeting could be held with relevant Traders having Disability Services and David Chenery in attendance to explain the problem.</p>	DC will write a letter to FTC regarding the issue including evidence, and also issues raised by the Suffolk Disability Forum.
Update 16 Sept 2014		A meeting was held with traders at the beginning of August. David Chenery reported that a suitable sign cannot be found for Bank Corner. There is also an issue of only being able to put this type of sign on private land. Trinity Methodist Church at Bank Corner was an option. Woodbridge are planning to have hanging signs, but this is a cost to the shops and involves planning permission. Agreement to David Chenery's recommendation was made, to revert back to SCC guidelines and advice notice dated 2012. Orange stickers would only be used if dangerous.	Cllr Morris will make enquiries with Rev Diane Smith regarding putting a suitable sign on the corner and will also take details of the consultation to the Suffolk Coastal Disability forum at the beginning October.
Update 10 March 2015		SCC were trying to avoid the need to put out orange stickers. At a meeting with the Disability groups the consensus had been that one	DC agreed to arrange for shops which exacerbated the problem to be visited again.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		A Board was acceptable but lots of stands were proving a problem. Mr L Barber explained that the tactile strip was designed as part of the Shared Space scheme and was between the path and the road to help people with sight problems.	
Update 8 Sept 2015		No further action was deemed to be required at this time but the situation would be monitored in case of a proliferation of obstructive 'A' boards.	No further action required. Continue monitoring.
Update 8 March 2016		No further concerns at the time of this meeting however the situation will continue to be monitored. Retailer ambitions for improved signage could be delivered through a Felixstowe BID if this aspiration is achieved.	No further action – matter closed at this time.
Update 20 Sept 2016		A complaint has been received from an Orwell Road shop which cannot put A Boards out even though they are allowed in Hamilton Road if not causing an obstruction. The Blind Society are not happy about the boards in Hamilton Road and there has recently been an appearance of 2 flags which are a hazard. Suffolk Coastal Disability Forum held an Action Day on 8 July and wrote a report about the difficulties encountered in the town.	AT to email DC the Action Day report and ask Suffolk Coastal Disability Forum for comments. DC will then be able to request an Inspector to seek removal of flags for mobility reasons.
Update 7 March 2017		<p>Emails received from Suffolk Coastal Disability Forum to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>DC showed photographs of the problem of A-Boards and flying banners. The initial promise to keep the area from the shop front to the slot drains should be honoured.</p>	DC to check with Disability Forum that if 1 board per shop (no flying banners) were put the other side of the slot drains would be an acceptable improvement. GN to write to Therese Coffey on behalf of HAC to ask for support. Then the Felixstowe Forward team will be asked to advise the traders' group to remove all obstructions in the designated pedestrian zone outlined above, together with the reason for the request. After a suitable period, the highways team will be asked to step up enforcement of the 'A' board policy.
Update 19 Sept 2017		<p>Update GN: A complaint has been received not just regarding obstructions on the "walking" areas on Hamilton Road shared space, but also the inconsiderate placement of warning signs on pavements generally, where road works are in progress.</p> <p>Update from transport strategy team : DC has contacted the Suffolk Disability Forum, requesting comments specifically regarding the A boards in Felixstowe and are awaiting a reply. In June a disability action day was undertaken in Woodbridge resulted in the following</p>	<p>PG will raise issue of work in progress warning signs with Suffolk Highways Work Assurance.</p> <p>PG to forward details of scheme that they use in Woodbridge and maybe Lavenham to AT to circulate.</p> <p>It would be prudent to bring to attention of Town Centre Partnership. SCC will continue to have a</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>comments about A boards – “The pavements are obstructed by A Boards causing difficulties in negotiation. Their use should be reviewed and shopkeepers should adhere to the guidelines in place. The example of one A Board in the Thoroughfare as people leave the Turban Centre which advertises a number of businesses should be encouraged.”</p>	<p>friendly word when necessary. FTC or FF to ask TC Partnership to draw up a Trader’s partnership which may need input also from the Disability Forum.</p>
<p>Update 6 March 2018</p>		<p>Members considered the SCC guidelines and Lavenham Protocol. The guidelines were very useful and could be attached to a letter to every business. The problem is mostly in the shared space. AT has a meeting with Disability Forum this week.</p>	<p>After meeting with Disability Forum, AT to ask PG to issue a letter which points in particular to rotating signs and feather flags. The letter should mention the issues they cause with disabilities and that SCC have enforcement rights, and to ask that shop holders are sensible and community minded in this regard.</p>
<p>Update Sept 2018</p>		<p>A-board outside The Vape Emporium (formerly Cash Converters), Hamilton Road opposite Great Eastern Square and the flag banners along shared space (e.g. Coffee Link) require action. 23/7/18 email to PG and customer services saying that this was discussed at our Highways Advisory Committee meeting in March and if not already done it would be good to issue the individuals concerned with a letter which points in particular to rotating signs and feather flags. The letter should mention the issues they cause with disabilities and that SCC have enforcement rights, and to ask that shop holders are sensible and community minded in this regard. A request was made for the draft letter to be sent to DF before sending.</p> <p>Update 13/9 PG will provide a draft letter for the meeting</p>	
<p>200916/06</p>	<p>Hamilton Road Slabs</p>	<p>A member of the public has, over several months brought to Highways attention faults in the paving in Hamilton Road. He is now thinking that next month (Sept) he will make a freedom of information request for the current list of faults recorded within Hamilton Road, who is responsible for them and the schedule for rectification. There are many problems and he is happy to join on an inspection visit. The examples he has given are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reapiers outside the Tesco cash machine • A block cover in concrete outside/near Coes shop • The cross roads of Orwell Road and Hamilton Road dug up to replace gas pipes in March. Many wrong colour blocks used during reinstatement. • Pavement replaced with a patch of tarmac outside of 	<p>All – Highways welcome comments on any other locations. DC to update at next meeting.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>Bonmarche.</p> <p>This is, unfortunately, a recurring problem, as statutory Undertakers are allowed to make temporary repairs, and then have up to six months to effect an “as found” repair. Councillor Newman has taken this up with r D Chenery and the people who do the legal work connected to Statutory Undertaker’s activities.</p> <p>Highways update: The repairs to the pavement outside Tesco have been completed.</p> <p>The blocks at the Orwell Road junction are to be replaced by the contractor in due course.</p> <p>There are seven locations where there are minor defects in the blockwork surfacing in Hamilton Road. We plan to hgroup these together at some point in the future in one repair project.</p> <p>There are also a number of damaged signs at the Bent Hill junction which we will group together into a repair task.</p>	
Update 7 March 2017		An order for repairs to the carriageway blocks is to be prepared in the near future. There are 7 locations with defects, which will form 1 order to resolve.	DC to monitor
Update 19 Sept 2017		<p>Town Clerk update: In response to a letter sent to SCC Chief Executive from Felixstowe Town Council with regards to the reinstatement of local highways following completion of works, a reply has been received from David Chenery on 6th Sept which will be tabled.</p> <p>Update from transport strategy team:</p> <p>Network Assurance have received complaints regarding utility reinstatements. An order has not yet been placed however areas that could benefit from blockwork renewal have been identified as; Junct. Orwell Rd, o/s New Look, opp Bonmarche, o/s Tesco Metro, corner at TSB bank, o/s cinema (Crescent Rd), middle of c/w o/s Peacocks. Complaints received regarding slabs Outside Subway, opp HSBC, opp Newlook, Oxfam, Simone Barbers Shop.</p> <p>DC agreed the commitment to undertake these works in principle however there is currently no available funding. The details have been recorded on our asset management system and will be prioritised by the asset team.</p>	<p>Network Assurance are supposed to put in like for like. It is important that they take up the slabs carefully, but sometimes this is not possible.</p> <p>PG will check with Morrisons and the Utility Companies. As they should be the one’s funding the replacements.</p> <p>It was noted that there are some loose blocks outside Peacocks.</p>
Update 6 March 2018		Pg spoke to Network Assurance and they should be replacing slabs on a like for like basis.	To be added to the list of sites to visit with PG, GN & SB

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Update Sept 2018		Update PG: Need a meeting to assess where the issues are now. Then PG will get Network Assurance to chase the individual utility companies. They have a timescale in which to have the work done. Matching can be an issue	Need to arrange a site visit as above
190917/18	Traffic signals at Hamilton Rd/Cobbold Rd	<p>SCC traffic signals team have identified detection faults due to some of the Traffic Signals' loops in the carriageway being broken. This means the controller is not aware of the cars. The Traffic Signals has been changed back to a fixed time programme rather than vehicle activated.</p> <p>It is programmed to have the defective replaced allowing the signal controller to go back to vehicle activated.</p>	PG to chase.
Update 6 March 2018		New microwave controller going in the next few weeks.	
Update Sept 2018		PG will confirm whether the new controller has been installed.	
190917/11	Re-painting of bollards on Hamilton Road and two benches outside the old Post Office	<p>Please can we request that the iron bollards that are on the pavements on the Hamilton Road junction with York Road are repainted. They look like they need repainting before the winter. A request has not yet been made. Possibly ask Community Payback team to paint?</p> <p>There are also two benches outside the old Post Office in Hamilton Road – both look rather worse for wear.</p>	<p>This could be a Community Payback team project, finance to be found by a Councillor or FTC.</p> <p>It is uncertain who owns the two benches, possibly the Post Office. DS will enquire with SCDC.</p> <p>The finger post near Pound land still points to the old Post office and will need to be changed.</p>
Update 6 March 2018		Update 11/10/17 Norse will endeavour to do the benches as soon as possible. This was chased on 12/2/18. It appears the benches outside the post office have now been done. AS has an ongoing programme list with Toni Cini, SCDC. The bicycle stands in the shared space are in a bad state and also some blue containers have been knocked slightly. It would be good to refurbish before the start of the season	<p>PG will speak to Asset Management regarding this.</p> <p>GN to give DF a list to then speak to Toni Cini who also deals with the Community Payback team.</p>
Update		<p>GN prepared to fund the replacement of the three seriously damaged cycle hoops from his Local Highways Budget.</p> <p>Update PG: It has been put on the list for Asset Management funding but not sure how long it will be. PG enquiring as to whether GN has contacted the Highways Budget team?</p>	

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Bus Stops			
200916/09	Bus Stop clearway on Leopold Road	<p>SCC have had a request in from First to put a bus stop clearway on Leopold Road as they cannot always get to the kerb properly due to parked cars (see attached photo). With the single yellow line here there is obviously some protection, but limited waiting and loading is still allowed so we can't guarantee that buses will always be accommodated. The kerb here has not yet been raised for easy access but there is not really space to do so between the driveways, and as the paving here is concrete it would not be a cheap option. As a guide price it would cost at £2000 to raise the kerb and put the lines down plus at least £1,500 to vary the current single yellow line order. The clearway itself would not need an order, but because there is existing paint on site the order for that would have to be changed. Before they go any further down the design route, they have requested the Town Council's view on this.</p> <p>SCC's view here is that this stop is a bit of a "luxury" location and that people who really need the bus to get to the kerb may need to go to one of the other nearby stops in Crescent Road or Orwell Road.</p> <p>A specific chunk of the general stops budget hasn't been allocated at present, but there is a good amount not yet committed for this year so SCC could potentially find the money if there is a genuine need and demand for the works. But naturally, any contributions from other bodies will be more than welcome.</p> <p>Highways update: The cost of changing a traffic order in isolation is likely to be £4-5000, not £1500, although it could be included with other orders mentioned elsewhere in the report.</p>	DF to reply to SCC Passenger Transport with cost of changing TRO. DC to investigate future possibilities of having a TRO arrangement for bus stops in general.
Update 7 March 2017		DF Update from Simon Barnett - Bus stop Clearways do not need a TRO unless there are already other orders in place on that stretch of road that have to be revoked or altered to fit the bus stop markings in. He'd be more than happy to have a few more around Felixstowe as quite a few stops do suffer with parked cars (outside the Police Station for starters) but know they are always contentious as they restrict on-street parking.	
		SCC to consider requests for new bus stop markings. Recent additions have been in Undercliff Road East and Church Road.	To monitor and carry forward to next meeting

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Update 19 Sept 2017		<p>Simon Barnett update: On the TRO front, there is a need to resolve the Leopold Road stop. A lot of complaints have been received since the suspension of the stop and Simon thinks it will have to come back one way or another. The alternative to changing the current single yellow line TRO at the old stop would be to bring it closer to Orwell Road and have buses stop within the double-yellow line area. To do this SCC would need to put a pole in for the flag which may generate objections. The best site would be on the boundary between no's 50 and 52 at the end of the double yellows. It would go right at the rear of the footway as the lamp columns are at present.</p> <p>Update from transport strategy team:</p> <p>Simon Barnett has provided update however a bus stop clearway can be laid over existing restrictions and those restrictions do not need to be revoked. No TRO is needed.</p>	Route 77 taken away. PG will speak with Simon Barnett regarding this. At the Wolsey Gardens/Leopold Road stop the flags that Ipswich Buses used for the Open top Bus have been taken away. GM & PG will try to get resolved.
Update 6 March 2018		Update from Simon Barnett: Re bus stop outside 50-52 Leopold Road, waiting a price for new pole from Suffolk Highways. It is close to being ready and just needs approval by estimators then can be ordered.	Work in progress.
Update Sept 2018		Update has been requested from SB.	
190917/02	Bus Stops – Grange Road and Mill Lane (Wadgate Rd stop)	<p>The bus shelters at the "Tree Houses" in Grange Road, and the Wadgate Rd stop on Mill Lane hardly provide shelter in adverse weather.</p> <p>Simon Barnett from SCC reports that:</p> <p>Grange Rd: mapping system gives a footway width of around 2.8m here (it is a bit vague as the wall behind the shelter is not shown) which would give plenty of clearance for a new, deeper type. SCC believes this one to be FTC rather than SCDC. The kerb is raised already so the only costs apart from the new shelter would be removal of the old and filling the leg holes. Based on the ones done last year would expect that to come in at under £1,000.</p> <p>Mill Lane: here the inset into the gardens where the current shelter sits appears to be 1.6 x 4.7m so ample room for an enclosed design there as well. The base area is slabbed rather than tarmac to match the rest of the footway. There would be no problem leaving those in</p>	GN now has prices. SW & GN to discuss funding.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		<p>place but the chances of the new legs fitting in the right place are pretty small so it wouldn't look as neat as if slabs were replaced with tarmac. Either way, it would be worth getting the pole removed along with the shelter works as the new one can have a flag bracket. Leaving the slabs in would again bring us in around £1,000 with maybe an extra £500 to replace them. SCC suspect SCDC will have no complaints if we were to replace this one. Simon will ask Norse who do the glass repairs to see who might make that decision. Shelters themselves are still clocking in at around £4,800 for an enclosed design so with a fair wind the total would be about £12,000. Simon has managed to secure a small budget for this year but it is already largely committed so won't know for a few months yet if a contribution can be made towards these two. Simon will obtain demolition prices from Suffolk Highways.</p>	
<p>Update 6 March 2018</p>		<p>Update from Simon Barnett: The footway is wide enough, and although ownership of the shelter is still not proven it is unlikely that anyone would object to it being replaced. For a shelter replacement in Trimley last week, the shelter supplier was requested to remove the old one as part of the installation job rather than getting Suffolk Highways to do it. That worked out a lot cheaper and didn't have to wait for the works to be designed and programmed – it was all done within two weeks of raising the order. The site will be inspected to make sure there wouldn't be any trip hazards or suchlike as the new legs will no doubt not match the old. That would need Highways to come in after all, but hopefully there will be no such issues and we should be able to do the same here.</p> <p>The only real issue is funding. Final costs on a bunch of works that have been done recently or are scheduled to be finished by end of March are still awaited. Quite a few recent jobs have ended up varying significantly from the estimates so Simon is unable to commit what is left this year for anything else as yet. It is hoped that there will be a minor works budget for 18/19 but that's not confirmed yet. The Trimley works came in just under £5,000 for a three-bay enclosed shelter. That would fit here no problem, but if the stop is particularly busy it might be worth going up to a four-bay design. That would probably fit and still leave room to get past the shelter and lamp column, but if necessary we could shift the bin a metre or so up the road. I don't have a cost for one that size but can</p>	<p>For GN and SW to decide regarding funding.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		ask if committee would like.	
Update Sept 2018		Update has been requested from SB.	
190917/03	Leisure Centre bus stop	<p>Simon Barnett, SCC has asked whether HAC has had any further thoughts about an overall TRO refresh as yet? The stop outside the leisure centre needs changes ASAP – cars are parking tight up against either end of the cage markings in the middle of the lay-by. Both able to do so as displaying disabled badges (and then going in to the gym?) it then leaves no way for a bus to squeeze in between them. The cage needs to be extended to one end or other of the lay-by to enable proper use of the stop in future.</p> <p>Simon has looked on site and concluded it is not safe to go ahead with the proposed relocation of the eastbound/opposite pier bus stop from the car park down to the shops. Certainly until all the pier works are finished and probably ongoing with the crossing where it is and deliveries occurring to the shops and restaurants, all of which obstructed the alternative site.</p> <p>Update from transport strategy team:</p> <p>See previous notes regarding Civil Parking Enforcement, bus stop clearways and Traffic Regulation Orders.</p> <p>A bus stop clearway can be installed over existing restrictions without those restrictions being revoked. The only proviso is that if you install a bus stop clearway marking it is correctly signed and the signage of the other restriction is adjusted to make it enforceable (i.e. if a single yellow line then additional signs within 15 metres of the Bus Stop Clearway marking will be required to make the single yellow line enforceable)</p>	This stop is almost continuously blighted by parked private cars. GN to request Simon Barnett that the on-street markings be improved as soon as possible, and the twisted stop flag repaired or replaced at the same time
Update 6 March 2018		Update from Simon Barnett 13/2/18: Waiting for Suffolk Highways. There are some concerns over whether it is possible to make changes without needing to alter the current TRO. If new lines are just painted they would probably not be enforceable. They are still digging into the ramifications of this and are expecting to be consulting with the legal team, it is hoped that an update can be brought to the meeting	The flag on the bus stop is now in place. Work in progress.
Update Sept 2018		Update SB 10/9 : The lining works have been ordered but SCC haven't been given a works date as yet.	

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

190917/05	Route of the 77 bus / Convalescent Hill bus stop	There needs to be notices on the 4 posts in Orwell Road to advise the bus is no longer calling at these stops and the nearest services available are in Mill Lane. Question as to whether reinstatement of any service is possible to Orwell Road. There is now no stop between Convalescent Hill car park on the sea front and Crescent Road (opposite the American Diner), and several complaints have been received regarding the stopping of this service in Orwell Road.	GN has put up temporary notices to say where nearest stops are. GN has asked Simon if these 4 stops be fitted with a more permanent notice.
Update 6 March 2018		Update: GN has asked Simon whether a bus shelter could be considered in the Convalescent Hill Car park. Simon is happy to go along those lines if the land is forthcoming at no cost to SCC. This will now be investigated further. The publicity team have been asked to look into cases here for a more permanent notice. This has been chased.	Members looked at the location , and AS is happy to approach SCDC. GN is happy to support and fund. It will need to be glass ended so as to cause a visibility problem.
Update Sept 2018		Update SB is available to meet AS on site asap.	
Weeds			
190917/06	Footpath 8 (Elmcroft Lane to Westmorland Rd) and Footpath 32 (Rendlesham Rd <>PofF Road/A14 overbridge<>Clickett Hill)	Serious overgrowth ingress to both paths, including overhanging branches on FP8, stout brambles on FP32.	This is a ROW issue, and they will look into these.
Update 6 March 2018			Areas are treated twice a year and if it is still a problem members should let PG Know
Update Sept 2018		If this is still an issue please let PG know	
190917/14	Weeds on Roads, kerbsides and pavements	Norse are failing to keep the road drains and kerbsides free from weeds and debris is collecting alongside the roads in various places in Walton, including Treetops, Ascot drive, Gulpher Road and along both the High Road and main Road. One recurring query, passageway between High Street Walton and Rogers Close. No weed spraying for the past 3 years, despite	Currently 2 weed sprayings are carried out a year. PG will investigate as SCC subcontract to Norse.

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

		promises to sort this out. Very luxurious growth at the present. Among other places there is also an issue of weeds on High Beach	
Update 6 March 2018		The rota is on the website https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/assets/Roads-and-transport/Control-of-Weeds/Weed-Control-Suffolk-Coastal.pdf The current times for treating the weeds in Felixstowe is w/c 1 May and 24 July. A systemic weed killer is used.	PG to confirm who carries it out and also whether it covers footpaths now.
Update Sept 2018		Weed control link is now on https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/roadworks/roadworks-in-suffolk/ It is showing that Felixstowe weeds were treated w/c 16 July but it is not showing any further dates? The weed treatment is carried out by Suffolk Coastal Norse and covers the road side channel and rear edge of footpath. PG will confirm further details.	
Misc			
030907/05 Update 12/09/11 to 7/3/17	20mph Wadgate Road area	At HAC on 13/03/06 members had considered an accident reduction scheme at the junction of Mill Lane/Wadgate Road and decided that the situation should be kept under review. In 2011 a member of the public had asked HAC to revisit this issue because of continuing speed/accident problems at this junction. In early 2017 traffic calming was put in place	
Update 19 Sept 2017		Update from transport strategy team: Signage is still outstanding. The Project Manager for the signs is on leave until 21st Sept , this will be chased again upon his return.	PG will chase DC regarding the awaited signs.
Update 6 March 2018		The signs are still not in place	PG to check and arrange
Update Sept 2018		Update 13/9: PG will look at today and confirm whether signs are in place	
190917/08	Bent Hill	There is an oil spillage defacing the paviour bricks at the top of Bent Hill	It appears it is cooking oil, this has been reported to SCDC as it is being poured down the drain.
		Update 13/2/18: There are loose/sunken paver bricks on Bent Hill, about half way up	
Update 6 March 2018			PG to investigate further

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

Update Sept 2018		Update 13/9: PG will look at today and report back for meeting	
190917/09	Pier – Deliveries on the Prom	A complaint has been received regarding a large lorry reversing 150 yds on the prom without due care and attention to make deliveries to the new Pier. These concerns have been passed to Andrew Green, Pier Operations Director, for information	To be monitored. Delivery lorries should be encouraged to use the car park.
Update 6 March 2018		It was agreed that the area the other side of the bollards would be marked up for deliveries but this has not happened yet.	AS to chase
Update Sept 2018		It is not believed to be such an issue this season?	
190917/13	Lighting needed on Footpath between Walton High Street and St Mary's Close.	A request has been made to consider possible installation of lighting on the footpath between Walton High Street and St Mary's Close.	This was referred to HAC after a serious incident. It is quite a length to walk in the dark. Two lights would be enough to light up the footpath. PG to make a first approach re lighting, and it would possibly need to be funded.
Update 6 March 2018		PG has spoken to Street Lighting	PG will chase
Update Sept 2018		SB has raised this with Mary Evans. The lamp post is in place but power is still to be connected. There have been some issues about the lamppost being not being in the correct place.	
060318/02	Re-routed lorries due to A14 works	Complaints have been received regarding the noise, and vibrations felt in houses along the re-route every time a lorry comes past which have made it impossible for some residents to sleep. The main complaint is that no notification that this would be taking place and the duration were received by householders on High Road West.	There was a wash up meeting held at ITFC a couple of weeks again and the Contractor is now notifying stakeholders.
Update Sept 2018		Confirmation required whether issue now satisfactory and item can be archived.	
060318/03	Traffic Lights at Garrison Lane	At present it is increasingly difficult to turn right into High Road West when approaching from Candlet Road. A resident has complained that at busy times it is so bad that it is necessary to "jump the lights" if the turn is to be achieved.	PG has looked into this and there is a pressure pad on the road, so that if there is a vehicle on it, it should allow them to turn right. Intelligent lights would be good but it would be an issue of funding

AGENDA ITEM 5: Highways Report – Appendix A

			and whether the problem is serious enough. PG to check accident records GN to write a letter for PG regarding carrying out a study to see if there is justification.
Update Sept 2018		Doug Cook (from the Intelligent Traffic Signal team) to contact Graham Newman to understand the issue and investigate potential solutions with the ITS team. PG will check to see if this is moving forward.	
060318/07	Streetlights in Hamilton Road	Two street lights are out in Hamilton Road – reported online 3975 and 3976 outside Russell Smith and opposite. They will possibly need a cherry picker, it has been asked if this can be given a priority as it is our main thoroughfare. It is understood that the street lights have been out for at least two months. It has been asked how work is prioritised, as 3588 was reported at the same time and this one has been corrected.	3976 is working 3975 is still a problem in progress. PG to monitor
Update Sept 2018		PG to check whether there is still a problem and report back. Highways have purchased a new machine that has a platform on which can be used on footpaths. New streetlights are now being purchased which have hinges so that one workman can pull down to change the bulb .	
060318/10	Footway at 48 Beach Station Road	Vehicle repairs are being carried out on the footway at 48 Beach Station Road. Is this an enforcement issue?	AT to email Liz Beighton, SCDC Planning and copy AS in. It is also a Police matter
Update Sept 2018		This issue was passed to the Police as there were un-roadworthy vehicles there.	